

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 RONALD J. ALLISON,

4 Plaintiff

Case No. 2:21-cv-01665-APG-EJY

5 **ORDER**

6 v.

7 U.S. MAIL, *et al.*,

8 Defendants

9 This action began with a *pro se* civil rights complaint filed under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 by a
10 state prisoner. On September 20, 2021, Magistrate Judge Youshah ordered plaintiff Ronald J.
11 Allison to file a fully complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pay the full filing fee
12 of \$402 by November 9, 2021. ECF No. 3 at 2. That deadline has expired and Allison has not
13 filed a fully complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis*, paid the full \$402 filing fee, or
14 otherwise responded to the order.

15 District courts have the inherent power to control their dockets and “[i]n the exercise of
16 that power, they may impose sanctions including, where appropriate . . . dismissal” of a case.
17 *Thompson v. Hous. Auth. of City of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may
18 dismiss an action, with prejudice, based on a party’s failure to prosecute an action, failure to
19 obey a court order, or failure to comply with local rules. *See Ghazali v. Moran*, 46 F.3d 52, 53-
20 54 (9th Cir. 1995) (affirming dismissal for noncompliance with local rule); *Ferdik v. Bonzelet*,
21 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992) (affirming dismissal for failure to comply with an order
22 requiring amendment of complaint); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988)
23 (affirming dismissal for failure to comply with local rule requiring *pro se* plaintiffs to keep court
apprised of address); *Malone v. U.S. Postal Service*, 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (affirming

1 dismissal for failure to comply with court order); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424
2 (9th Cir. 1986) (affirming dismissal for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with local
3 rules).

4 In determining whether to dismiss an action for such reasons, the court must consider
5 several factors: (1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's
6 need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public policy
7 favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic alternatives.

8 See *Thompson*, 782 F.2d at 831; *Henderson*, 779 F.2d at 1423-24; *Malone*, 833 F.2d at 130;
9 *Ferdik*, 963 F.2d at 1260-61; *Ghazali*, 46 F.3d at 53.

10 Here, the first two factors (the public's interest in expeditiously resolving this litigation
11 and my interest in managing the docket) weigh in favor of dismissal. The third factor (risk of
12 prejudice to the defendants) also weighs in favor of dismissal because a presumption of injury
13 arises from the occurrence of unreasonable delay in filing a pleading ordered by the court or
14 prosecuting an action. See *Anderson v. Air West*, 542 F.2d 522, 524 (9th Cir. 1976). The fourth
15 factor (public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits) is greatly outweighed by the
16 factors in favor of dismissal discussed herein. Finally, a court's warning to a party that his
17 failure to obey the court's order will result in dismissal satisfies the "consideration of
18 alternatives" requirement. *Ferdik*, 963 F.2d at 1262; *Malone*, 833 F.2d at 132-33; *Henderson*,
19 779 F.2d at 1424. Judge Youchah's order expressly stated: "IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that,
20 if Plaintiff does not file a fully complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* with all three
21 documents or pay the full \$402 filing fee for a civil action on or before November 9, 2021, this
22 case will be subject to dismissal without prejudice for Plaintiff to refile the case with the Court,
23 under a new case number, when Plaintiff has all three documents needed to file a complete

1 application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or pays the the full \$402 filing fee.” Thus, Allison had
2 adequate warning that dismissal would result from his noncompliance with the order.

3 I THEREFORE ORDER that this action is dismissed without prejudice based on plaintiff
4 Ronald J. Allison's failure to file a fully complete application to proceed *in forma pauperis* or
5 pay the full \$402 filing fee in compliance with this court's September 20, 2021 order.

6 I FURTHER ORDER the Clerk of Court to close the case and enter judgment
7 accordingly. No other documents may be filed in this now-closed case.

8 DATED: November 21, 2021.

9 
10 ANDREW P. GORDON
11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23